

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.           | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR        | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/674,714                | 12/19/2000     | Bjarke De Jaeger Gotfredsen | Scard-card reader   | 3520             |
| 7:                        | 590 07/09/2004 |                             | EXAM                | INER             |
| DANIEL P. M<br>ATTORNEY A |                | FRANKLIN, JAMARA ALZAIDA    |                     |                  |
| 423 E STREET              |                |                             | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| DAVIS, CA                 | 95616          |                             | 2876                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Applicati n No.  | Applicant(s)                               |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/674,714   | GOTFREDSEN, BJARKE DE<br>JAEGER            |  |  |  |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit                                   |  |  |  |
|  | Jamara A. Franklin   | 2876                                       |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the c ver sheet with the   | orrespondence address                      |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).</li> </ul>  | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection.               |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) 🛮 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE: .</li></ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject   | ion(s):  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  | parate, timely filed amendment             |  |  |  |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  | ,  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-32.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   | •  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MICHAEL G. HE  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | SORY PATENT EXAMINER<br>NOLOGY CENTER 2800 |  |  |  |

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-32 remain rejected as set forth in the final rejection of paper no. 404. The amendment as filed on 12/22/03 was official and could not be considered conditional since the signature of the attorney of record is presented at the closing of the amendment that was submitted after a non-final rejection.